AHA/ACCF/HRS Recommendations for the Standardization and Interpretation of the Electrocardiogram

Part IV: The ST Segment, T and U Waves, and the QT Interval

A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society

Endorsed by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology

Pentti M. Rautaharju, MD, PhD; Borys Surawicz, MD, FAHA, FACC; Leonard S. Gettes, MD, FAHA, FACC

The present article is the fourth in a series of 6 documents
focused on providing current guidelines for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram (ECG). The project was initiated by the Council on Clinical Cardiology of the American Heart Association. The rationale for this project and the process for its implementation were described earlier.¹

Abnormalities in the ST segment, T wave, and duration of the QT interval reflect abnormalities in ventricular repolarization. These abnormalities are common and often difficult to interpret. The U wave most likely represents an electricmechanical phenomenon that occurs after repolarization is completed. However, it is frequently included in discussions of repolarization and is discussed in this section.

The ST segment corresponds to the plateau phase of the ventricular transmembrane action potential. Under normal con-

ditions, the transmembrane voltage changes slowly during this phase and remains at approximately the same level in all ventricular myocardial cells. As a result, only small voltage gradients are present. This absence of pronounced voltage gradients is similar to that which occurs during electric diastole, ie, from the end of repolarization to the onset of the next depolarization, when ventricular myocardial cells are at their resting transmembrane potential of approximately -85 mV. This corresponds to the TP segment on the ECG. The absence of significant voltage gradients in ventricular myocardial cells during these 2 phases of the cardiac cycle explains why the ST and TP segments are normally nearly flat and at approximately the same level; that is, they are isoelectric.

The T wave corresponds to the phase of rapid ventricular repolarization (phase 3) of the ventricular action potential.

Other members of the Standardization and Interpretation of the Electrocardiogram Writing Group include James J. Bailey, MD; Rory Childers, MD; Barbara J. Deal, MD, FACC; Anton Gorgels, MD; E. William Hancock, MD, FACC; Mark Josephson, MD, FACC, FHRS; Paul Kligfield, MD, FAHA, FACC; Jan A. Kors, PhD; Peter Macfarlane, DSc; Jay W. Mason, MD, FAHA, FACC, FHRS; David M. Mirvis, MD; Peter Okin, MD, FACC; Olle Pahlm, MD, PhD; Gerard van Herpen, MD, PhD; Galen S. Wagner, MD; and Hein Wellens, MD, FAHA, FACC.

The American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, and the Heart Rhythm Society make every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

Parts I and II of this series, "Recommendations for the Standardization and Interpretation of the Electrocardiogram," were published in the March 13, 2007, issue of *Circulation* (*Circulation*. 2007;115:1306 –1324 and 1325–1332). They are available online at http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/vol115/issue10/

Parts III, IV, V, and VI of this series are available online at http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/vol119/issue10/ (*Circulation*. 2009;119:e235– e240; $e^{241} - e^{250}$; $e^{251} - e^{261}$; and $e^{262} - e^{270}$). They also published ahead of print February 19, 2009.

This statement was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on August 7, 2008, by the American College of Cardiology Board of Trustees on May 16, 2008, and by the Heart Rhythm Society on June 18, 2008.

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: Rautaharju PM, Surawicz B, Gettes LS. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram, part IV: the ST segment, T and U waves, and the QT interval: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. 2009;119:e241–e250.

This article has been copublished in the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology.*

Copies: This document is available on the World Wide Web sites of the American Heart Association (my.americanheart.org), the American College of Cardiology (www.acc.org), and the Heart Rhythm Society (www.hrsonline.org). A copy of the document is also available at http://www.americanheart. org/presenter.jhtml?identifier-3003999 by selecting either the "topic list" link or the "chronological list" link (No. LS-1887). To purchase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com.

Expert peer review of AHA Scientific Statements is conducted at the AHA National Center. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3023366.

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml? identifier-4431. A link to the "Permission Request Form" appears on the right side of the page.

⁽*Circulation.* **2009;119:e241-e250.)**

^{© 2009} American Heart Association, Inc, American College of Cardiology Foundation, and the Heart Rhythm Society.

During this phase, the transmembrane action potential repolarizes from its plateau voltage of approximately 10 to -10 mV to its resting level of approximately -85 mV. The interventricular and intraventricular voltage gradients created as the cells undergo rapid sequential repolarization generate the T wave on the body surface ECG. The configuration of the T wave is determined by the spatial-temporal characteristics of ventricular repolarization, particularly the asynchrony of phase 3 of the ventricular action potentials. Our knowledge of these characteristics is still incomplete. In general, repolarization proceeds from epicardium to endocardium, that is, opposite to the direction of ventricular depolarization,^{2,3} and probably, like during excitation, a significant fraction of simultaneous repolarization wave fronts are mutually canceled. The difference in the spatial sequence of depolarization and repolarization in the left ventricular free wall reflects the observation that there tends to be an inverse relationship between activation time and action potential duration.4 The action potential duration of epicardial cells is shorter than that of the endocardial and midmyocardial cells.⁵ In addition, it is known that inhomogeneities of repolarization occur over relatively short distances on the surface of the ventricles and most probably also within the ventricular wall.5,6 It is probable that some of these inherent action potential differences are the result of electrotonic interactions during repolarization.7

Abnormalities in the ST segment and T wave are caused by abnormal voltage gradients during the plateau and rapid repolarization phases of the action potential and by changes in the sequence of repolarization that may occur both with and without abnormal voltage gradients. These abnormalities are often associated with a variety of well-defined anatomic, pathological, physiological, and pharmacological events.

In this section, we address several issues relative to the measurement, description, and interpretation of ST segment, T and U waves, and QT interval. They include the distinction between primary and secondary repolarization abnormalities, appropriate descriptive and interpretive terminology, and measurement of the QT interval and its adjustment for rate, gender, and QRS duration.

Distinction Between Primary and Secondary Repolarization Abnormalities

Abnormalities in the ST segment and T wave, which are the result of changes in the shape and/or duration of the repolarization phases of the transmembrane action potential and occur in the absence of changes in depolarization, are referred to as primary repolarization abnormalities. They may be localized or diffuse and may be caused by a variety of events, including ischemia, myocarditis, drugs, toxins, and electrolyte abnormalities, particularly abnormalities of serum calcium and potassium. An abrupt change in heart rate, hyperventilation, changes in body position, catecholamines, sympathetic stimulation or ablation of the stellate ganglion, and temperature changes also can cause primary repolarization abnormalities.^{8,9}

Abnormalities in the ST segment and T wave that occur as the direct result of changes in the sequence and/or duration of ventricular depolarization, manifested electrocardiographically as changes in QRS shape and/or duration, are referred to as secondary repolarization abnormalities. These changes do not require changes in the shape or duration of phase 2 and phase 3 of ventricular action potential of individual cells. Rather, they may be due to voltage gradients that are normally largely canceled but become manifest when the changes in the sequence of depolarization alter the repolarization sequence. The ST- and T-wave changes that occur in association with bundle-branch blocks, ventricular preexcitation, and ectopic and paced ventricular complexes are examples of secondary repolarization abnormalities.

The classic ventricular gradient concept introduced by Wilson et al¹⁰ in 1931 is of some theoretical interest concerning primary versus secondary repolarization abnormalities. Ventricular gradient in a single ECG lead is the net time integral of the ECG voltage from the beginning of the P wave to the end of the U wave. Its spatial counterpart is the ventricular gradient vector determined from the orthogonal XYZ leads. The practical utility of the ventricular gradient in differentiating primary from secondary repolarization abnormalities has not been demonstrated.11 When the direction of the QRS axis is normal, an abnormal direction of the T-wave axis is generally an indication of primary repolarization abnormalities.

Recognition of secondary repolarization abnormalities is usually not difficult. In left bundle-branch block, the STsegment and T-wave vectors are generally directed opposite to the mean QRS vector. In right bundle-branch block, they are directed opposite to the slow terminal component of the QRS complex. In ventricular preexcitation, ST-T changes are directed opposite to the delta wave of the QRS complex. The magnitude of the ST-T change is dependent on the magnitude of the QRS-waveform changes when the excitation pathways change.

The secondary ST- and T-wave changes associated with transiently altered ventricular conduction such as those that occur with ectopic ventricular complexes or transient bundlebranch blocks usually revert promptly to the pattern that existed before the ventricular conduction changes developed. However, some secondary repolarization changes take longer (hours or days) to develop and to dissipate. The repolarization changes associated with prolonged ventricular pacing are examples of this phenomenon.¹²

Primary and secondary repolarization abnormalities may occur concurrently. For example, ventricular hypertrophy is associated with changes in the shape and duration of the ventricular action potential of isolated ventricular cells, particularly on the endocardial surface.13 These changes may contribute to ST- and T-wave changes and are independent of the changes that are secondary to QRS-amplitude changes and prolongation of the QRS complex. A combination of primary and secondary repolarization abnormalities should also be considered when T-wave polarity does not change as anticipated by the changes in the QRS complex.

Recommendation

The distinction between primary and secondary repolarization abnormalities is clinically relevant because primary abnormalities indicate changes in the repolarization characteristics of ventricular myocytes whereas secondary changes do not. The designation of the ST- and T-wave abnormalities as primary or secondary is appropriate, and it is recommended that automated interpretative algorithms be programmed to identify them.

ST-Segment Abnormalities

ST- and T-wave amplitudes are referenced against the TP or PR segments of the ECG. When low-frequency filtering is done in ECG acquisition to remove baseline drift, the actual DC voltage levels of various ECG waves or segments cannot be determined. Thus, elevation of the ST segment may reflect PR/TP depression, true ST elevation, or both; conversely, ST depression may reflect PR/TP elevation, true ST depression, or both.14 –16 When considering deviations of the ST segment, one should bear in mind total QRS amplitude because this variable also affects the amplitude of ST-segment abnormalities. Displacement of the ST segment is usually measured at its junction with the end of the QRS complex, the "J point," and, in some settings such as exercise testing, 40 and up to 80 ms after the J point. The ST segment can be described as elevated, depressed, upsloping, horizontal, or downsloping. In addition, the magnitude of abnormal deviations and the leads showing them should be identified. A depressed ST segment may be further characterized as horizontal, downsloping, or upsloping (rapidly or slowly).

Elevation of the ST segment in leads V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 should be referenced against the elevation that occurs normally in these leads and is greater in young and middle-aged males than in females^{17–21} and greater in blacks than in whites.²¹ ST elevation is usually most pronounced in chest lead V_2 . The upper normal limit for J-point elevation in V_2 varies to a certain degree in various reference sources, probably largely as a result of differing selection criteria for the normal group. One reference source²⁰ reports the upper 98th percentile normal limit as approximately 0.3 mV in white men less than 40 years of age (up to 0.33 mV in the 24- to 29-year age group) and approximately 0.25 mV in white men 40 years old and older. The corresponding limits for white women remained relatively unchanged with age, staying at approximately 0.15 mV.

Another reference source21 lists normal limits for J-point and ST_{60} (ST at 60 ms past the J point) amplitudes for white and black men and women 40 years old and older in 2 age groups. The upper normal limit (98th percentile) for J-point amplitude in V_2 was approximately 0.15 mV in white men and 0.20 mV in black men. The corresponding limits were approximately 0.10 mV for white women and 0.15 mV for black women. The upper normal limits for ST_{60} in V₂ were approximately 0.3 mV in white men and approximately 0.35 mV in black men. The corresponding limits were approximately 0.2 mV in white women and approximately 0.25 mV in black women.

Evaluation of ST elevation is of particular concern in connection with myocardial ischemia in acute myocardial infarction, as discussed in detail in part 6 of this series of recommendations (Acute Ischemia/Infarction). The threshold value for abnormal J-point elevation in V_2 and V_3 recommended in that part is 0.2 mV for men 40 years of age and older and 0.25 mV for men less than 40 years of age. The recommended threshold value for adult women in V_2 and V_3 is 0.15 mV. The threshold recommended for abnormal J-point elevation for men and women in all other standard leads is 0.1 mV. These threshold values appear to be an appropriate compromise for practical clinical use in the evaluation of ST elevation.

In the evaluation of ST elevation, it is important to consider ST-segment waveform in addition to the normal limits for ST amplitudes. The ST segment in normal J-point elevation in V_2 and particularly V_1 is generally sloping down steeply. Normal ST elevation at 60 ms past the J point is combined with an upsloping ST segment rather than with the more horizontal ST segment present in myocardial ischemia.

The reference values established in adequately large population-based normal groups stratified by age, gender, and race should be incorporated into computer-ECG ST-segment classification algorithms to avoid the inappropriate diagnosis of injury currents associated with myocardial ischemia, myocardial infarction, or pericarditis.

ST-segment elevation can most often be attributed to 3 specific causes: (1) a normal variant, frequently referred to as *early repolarization*, commonly characterized by J-point elevation and rapidly upsloping or normal ST segment; (2) injury currents associated with acute ischemia or ventricular dyskinesis; and (3) injury currents usually associated with pericarditis. Criteria exist to differentiate these causes^{22–24} and should be incorporated into the descriptive and diagnostic algorithms of the various computer-ECG algorithms. However, it is important to recognize that in practice it is often difficult to differentiate between them. In addition, a variety of other conditions may be associated with ST-segment elevation.

ST depression may be caused by various physiological, pathological, and pharmacological interventions that change the plateau phase of the ventricular action potential. Examples include the effects of ischemia, hypokalemia, and a variety of cardiac and noncardiac drugs. These are primary ST-segment changes. Depression of the ST-segment also may occur concurrently with T-wave changes. Examples include the ST-segment depression associated with hypertrophy and, as secondary repolarization abnormalities, in ventricular conduction disturbances.

The ST-segment changes on the standard ECG that are associated with acute ischemia or infarction are due to the flow of current across the boundary between the ischemic and nonischemic zones referred to as *injury current*. ST-segment elevation generally occurs with reciprocal ST depression in ECG leads in which the axis is opposite in direction from those with ST elevation. These ST-segment abnormalities are discussed in part VI (Acute Ischemia/Infarction),⁶⁶ which gives -0.05 as the recommended threshold value for abnormal J- point depression in leads V_2 and V_3 in men and women and -0.1 mV in all other leads.

Consideration of ST-segment changes as a response to exercise stress testing is outside the scope of the present working group.

Recommendation

Although it may be difficult to differentiate various causes of ST-segment abnormalities, the ECG interpretative report should include a qualitative description of the ST segment with due consideration of the age and gender of the patient, including a note if ST depression is 0.1 mV or more pronounced. One or more of the possible causes, depending on the presence of other ECG abnormalities and the knowledge of any pertinent clinical information, also may be included. For evaluation of ST elevation, reference values established in adequately large population-based normal groups stratified by age, gender, and race should be incorporated into computer-ECG ST-segment classification algorithms to avoid the inappropriate diagnosis of injury currents associated with myocardial ischemia/infarction or pericarditis.

T-Wave Abnormalities

Just as ST-segment segment abnormalities can occur with or without T-wave abnormalities, T-wave abnormalities can occur in the presence or absence of ST-segment segment abnormalities. The T-wave amplitude in limb leads is influenced by the frontal-plane T axis, which in turn is influenced by the QRS axis.

In children older than 1 month, the T wave is often inverted in leads V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 . In adolescents 12 years old and older and in young adults less than 20 years of age, the T wave may be slightly inverted in aVF and inverted in lead V_2 . In adults 20 years old and older, the normal T wave is inverted in aVR; upright or inverted in leads aVL, III, and V_1 ; and upright in leads I and II and in chest leads V_3 through V_6 .

In evaluations of T-wave abnormalities, T-wave negativity in lateral chest leads V_5 and V_6 is clinically particularly important. In these leads, the T wave is slightly negative (less than 0.1 mV) in 2% of white men and women 60 years of age and older and in 2% of black men and women 40 years of age and older; it is negative by 0.1 mV or more in 5% of black men and women 60 years of age and older.²¹

In normal adults, the T-wave amplitude is most positive in lead V_2 or V_3 . The reported normal standards for the T wave in various reports from community-based populations vary to some extent by age, gender, and race.^{20,21} T-wave amplitudes for V_2 from 1.0 to 1.4 mV have been listed as upper normal thresholds in men (up to 1.6 mV in the 18- to 29-year age group) and from 0.7 up to 1.0 mV in women.

A number of terms such as *peaked*, *symmetrical*, *biphasic*, *flat*, and *inverted* are being used as appropriate qualitative Twave descriptors. As more quantitative descriptors, it is proposed that the T wave in leads I, II, aVL, and V_2 to V_6 be reported as *inverted* when the T-wave amplitude is from -0.1 to -0.5 mV, as *deep negative* when the amplitude is from -0.5 to -1.0 mV, and as *giant negative* when the amplitude is less than -1.0 mV.²⁵ In addition, the T wave may be called *low* when its amplitude is less than 10% of the R-wave amplitude in the same lead and as *flat* when the peak T-wave amplitude is between 0.1 and -0.1 mV in leads I, II, aVL (with an R wave taller than 0.3 mV), and V_4 to V_6 .

Interpreting isolated T-wave abnormalities is difficult and often the source of ambiguous and inaccurate statements. The inappropriate diagnoses of myocardial ischemia and infarction are common errors. As indicated above, ST- and T-wave abnormalities that are secondary to abnormalities in ventricular conduction should be labeled as such. ST- and T-wave changes associated with hypertrophy, hypokalemia, and drugs can be attributed to one of these factors. Giant T-wave inversion is usually limited to one of several entities, including hypertrophic cardiomyopathies, non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarctions, and neurological events, particularly intracranial hemorrhage. The interpretation of such Twave changes should be descriptive, and a statement listing the most common causes is appropriate.

It is virtually impossible to develop a cause-specific classification for minor T-wave abnormalities. For these, classification as *slight* or *indeterminate* T-wave abnormality is appropriate. The overreader can then apply analysis of other features, and the clinical condition if available, to provide a more likely list of diagnostic possibilities. In these situations, comparison with prior ECGs (if available) is often helpful.

Notching of the T wave may be difficult to discriminate from a U wave that is superimposed on the downslope of an upright T wave. It is important to recognize that the T wave is rarely notched in all 12 leads and that the interval between the 2 summits of a notched T wave is usually less than the interval between the peak of a monophasic T wave and the U wave, which usually exceeds 150 ms at heart rates of 50 to 100 bpm.26

Recommendation

The ECG report should include a description of T-wave abnormalities, identification of associated ST-segment changes if present, and a statement as to whether the changes are indeterminate or more likely to be associated with a specific cause.

T-Wave Alternans

T-wave alternans signifies T-wave amplitude variations that alternate every second beat. These amplitude variations are quantified with various modifications of moving-average analysis or as the variance of specific frequency components in spectral analysis. T-wave alternans is typically observed as microvolt-level variation (microvolt T-wave alternans) and, at times, as more pronounced variations in alternating complexes or as slower components outside the range of the proper T-wave alternans, generally most prominent in phase with respiration.

T-wave alternans indicates latent instability of repolarization predictive of malignant arrhythmias. It is generally not present at the resting state even in high-risk patients, and a stress test (exercise or pharmacological stress or pacing), requiring special equipment and analysis software, is needed to provoke it. These procedures are outside the scope of the present document. It is sufficient to state that although the role of T-wave alternans regarding its clinical utility has not been fully defined, it holds substantial potential in identifying patients at high risk of serious arrhythmic events.

The U Wave

The U wave is a mechanoelectric phenomenon²⁶ that results in a low-amplitude, low-frequency deflection that occurs after the T wave. It is frequently absent in the limb leads and is most evident in leads V_2 and V_3 , where its amplitude has been suggested to be approximately 0.33 mV or 11% of the T wave.²⁷ Its presence is heart-rate dependent; it is rarely present at rates greater than 95 bpm. Bradycardia enhances the U-wave amplitude and is present in 90% of cases at heart rates less than 65 complexes per minute.28

It has long been held that an increase in U-wave amplitude, usually in association with depression of the ST segment and a decrease in T-wave amplitude, may be caused by a variety of cardioactive drugs with quinidine-like effects and by hypokalemia and that with more advanced hypokalemia, that is, K less than 2.7 mmol/L, the U-wave amplitude may exceed the T-wave amplitude in the same lead. However, more recent information suggests that this may be due to fusion of the U wave with the T wave rather than to an increase in U-wave amplitude per se.

Fusion of the U wave with the T wave also occurs in association with an increase in sympathetic tone29 and in the presence of a markedly prolonged QT interval such as that which occurs in congenital and acquired long-QT syndromes (LQTS).

An inverted U wave in leads V_2 through V_5 is abnormal.³⁰ It may appear transiently during acute ischemia or in the presence of hypertension.31,32 An abnormal U wave is often quite subtle and is rarely an isolated ECG abnormality. Thus, its presence is often not recognized or is overlooked by ECG readers and automated systems. For these reasons, no specific descriptive or diagnostic statements are recommended for inclusion in the automated list of terms. It remains the responsibility of the overreader to recognize abnormal U waves and to determine their clinical relevance.

Recommendation

Statements concerning the U wave should be included in the ECG interpretation when the U wave is inverted, when it is merged with the T wave, or when its amplitude is greater than that of the T wave.

The QT Interval

Measurement of the QT interval and its adjustment for rate, gender, and QRS prolongation represent 2 of the major challenges in electrocardiography. They are matters of great importance to physicians, drug manufacturers, and regulatory agencies because of the relationship between prolongation of the QT interval and potentially lethal ventricular arrhythmias. The document released in October 2005 by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) provides guidance for the design, conduct, analysis, and interpretation of clinical studies for evaluation of QT-interval prolongation.33

QT and ST-T patterns vary a great deal in various genotypes of the LQTS. Zhang et al³⁴ described 10 different ST-T patterns in first 3 genotypes of the syndrome (4 in LQT1, 4 in LQT2, and 2 in LQT3), and these patterns were present in the majority of genotyped LQTS patients.

The QT interval is defined as the interval from the onset of the QRS complex, that is, the earliest indication of ventricular depolarization, to the end of the T wave, that is, the latest indication of ventricular repolarization. The problems associated with this measurement include the following: (1) recognizing the onset of the QRS complex and the end of the T wave, (2) determining the appropriate lead(s) in which to measure the QT interval, and (3) adjusting the QT interval for increases in QRS duration, gender, and rate.

When the majority of ECGs were recorded on singlechannel analog machines, various leads were recorded sequentially, and the QT interval was measured manually in the individual leads. Determination of the end of the T wave was often difficult and sometimes impossible, and the onset of the QRS complex and the end of the T wave varied in different leads, appearing shorter when the axis of an individual lead was more perpendicular to the spatial vector of the onset of the QRS complex or the end of the T wave. The onset of the QRS complex tends to occur up to 20 ms earlier in V_2 and V_3 than in the limb leads.35 Some regard differences of up to 50 ms in QT intervals measured in the various leads in normal subjects as being normal³⁶; others have suggested that differences of up to 65 ms were still within the limit of normal.³⁷ This value is reported to be less in women than in men.³⁸

When the OT interval is measured in individual leads, the lead showing the longest QT should be used.39 This is usually V_2 or V_3 . However, if this measurement differs by more than 40 ms from that in other leads, the measurement may be in error, and measurements from adjacent leads should be considered. If the T wave and U wave are superimposed or cannot be separated, it is recommended that the QT be measured in the leads not showing U waves, often aVR and aVL,39 or that the downslope of the T wave be extended by drawing a tangent to the steepest proportion of the downslope until it crosses the TP segment. It should be recognized that defining the end of the T wave in these ways might underestimate the QT interval.

As detailed in the section on ECG technology, 1 most currently used automated digital machines record all leads simultaneously. This technique permits their temporal alignment and superimposition, which facilitates a more accurate assessment of the beginning of the QRS complex, the end of the T wave, and the separation of the U wave from the T wave. As a result, the automatically measured QT interval is often longer than the QT interval as measured in any individual lead, and the values currently regarded as normal, which were established with single-channel sequential recordings, may no longer be valid. Most automated systems do not routinely display the superimposed tracings or the points used to derive the QT interval.

In view of the clinical importance of the QT-interval prolongation, it is essential to visually validate QT-interval prolongation reported by a computer algorithm.

In addition to administration of QT-prolonging cardioactive drugs, a number of conditions can induce QT prolongation. It is often possible to identify a specific cause of QT prolongation when appropriate clinical information is available; for instance, both hypokalemia and hypocalcemia can prolong phase 2 and phase 3 of the action potential and prolong the QT interval. It is not feasible here to compile a comprehensive list of all possible causes of QT prolongation. It is sufficient to emphasize that its presence in an ECG report should call for a careful clinical evaluation of possible causes.

Recommendation

It is recommended that selective subsets of temporally aligned superimposed ECG leads be made available as an optional display to facilitate QT measurement and to validate the onset and end points of the QT interval. In view of the clinical importance of QT-interval prolongation, it is essential to visually validate QT-interval prolongation reported by a computer algorithm.

QT Correction for Rate

Many formulas have been proposed to adjust the QT interval for rate.40,41 The most widely used is the formula derived by Bazett⁴² in 1920 from a graphic plot of measured QT intervals in 39 young subjects. This adjustment procedure divides the measured QT by the square root of the RR interval to derive the rate-adjusted value. The formula introduced by Fridericia,43 also in 1920, uses the cube root of RR. Bazett's formula leaves a strong positive residual correlation $(r=0.32)$ and Fridericia's formula leaves a negative correlation $(r = -0.26$ to -0.32) with heart rate,^{44,45} and the adjusted QT values may be substantially in error, particularly when the heart rate is high. More recently introduced formulas for QT adjustment as a linear or power function of RR or heart rate for adults^{44,45-48} and for children⁴⁹ effectively remove the rate dependence of the adjusted QT, and they are clearly preferable to both Bazett's and Fridericia's formulas. Some investigators have introduced separate normal limits or rate correction factors for each heart rate subinterval using the so-called "bin method."46,50

Recommendation

It is recommended that linear regression functions rather than the Bazett's formula be used for QT-rate correction and that the method used for rate correction be identified in ECG analysis reports. In addition, rate correction of the QT interval should not be attempted when RR interval variability is large, as often occurs with atrial fibrillation, or when identification of the end of the T wave is unreliable.

QT Correction for Gender and the Limits for Prolonged and Short QT Interval

Although Bazett's and Fridericia's formulas make no adjustment for gender, many studies have demonstrated that the QT interval is longer in young and middle-aged females than in males. The gender difference is potentially important because women are generally considered to be more prone to malignant arrhythmias in LQTS than men. The gender difference appears during adolescence, 51 when the rate-adjusted QT shortens in boys, possibly as a testosterone effect, but undergoes little change in girls.

The reported gender difference in various studies varies from 6 to 10 ms in older age groups and from 12 to 15 ms in younger adults. Overall, the gender difference in rateadjusted QT interval becomes small after 40 years of age and practically disappears in older men and women. Separate gender- and age-specific QT-adjustment formulas have been proposed to accommodate these differences.21,44,46,47 Normal limits proposed in different studies vary to a certain extent, depending on the characteristics of the study population and

particularly on the type of QT-adjustment function used. It is important to recognize that normal limits established using the upper and lower limits of actual percentile distributions of the rate-adjusted QT are preferable to those as mean values \pm 2×SD because these distributions are strongly skewed.⁴⁴

Normal standards for thresholds for abnormal QT from large subgroups of community-based populations are available.21,44 – 48 These limits are relatively uniform in reports that have appropriately used linear regression functions with QTrate adjustment as a linear or power function of RR or heart rate.^{21,44,46-48} The following normal limits are suggested as a practical compromise for the evaluation of QT-interval prolongation and shortening in adult men and women: prolonged QT: women, 460 ms or longer; men, longer than 450 ms; and short QT: women and men, 390 ms or shorter.

FDA guidelines for industry recommend that 3 severity levels for rate-corrected QT be reported when considering possible QT-prolonging effects of drugs: longer than 350 ms, longer than 480 ms, and longer than 500 ms.³²

Although the upper normal limits for QT adjusted for rate as a linear function of RR in small groups of children stratified by age, gender, and heart rate have been published,52 the limits for prolonged and short QT established in reasonably large groups of children have been reported only for Bazett's formula.53 In that report, the 98th percentile limit for rate-adjusted QT was approximately 450 ms in children younger than 12 years of age. The gender difference of 8 ms appeared in the 12- to 16-year age group. It should be noted that QT adjusted by Bazett's formula may produce false QT prolongations.45

Recommendation

It is recommended that, in addition to rate, an adjustment for gender and age be incorporated into QT adjustment. As practical clinical limits for considering the QT interval as abnormal, it is recommended that the adjusted QT of 460 ms or longer in women and 450 ms or longer in men be considered a prolonged QT interval and that QT 390 ms and shorter be considered a short QT interval.

Correction for QRS Duration

The QT interval prolongs in ventricular conduction defects, and an adjustment for QRS duration becomes necessary.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ This can be accomplished best by incorporating QRS duration and RR interval as covariates into the QT-adjustment formula or by using the JT interval (QT duration–QRS duration).55 If the JT interval is chosen, normal standards established specifically for the JT interval should be used.55

Recommendation

QT- and JT-adjustment formulas have recently been introduced for use in the setting of prolonged ventricular conduction. With confirmation, they may be incorporated into automated algorithms to provide appropriate correction factors.

Evaluation of QT Intervals in Sequential Tracings

Evaluation of QT intervals from sequential ECG recordings is essential for identification of QT prolongation induced by pharmacological agents in drug studies. It is also helpful as an adjunct to ST analysis in determining the presence of active ischemia in patients suspected of having myocardial infarction.

When sequential tracings from patients recorded at different times of day and at different locations are compared, it is important to recognize that the time of day can influence the QT interval,57,58 that differences may exist between the different recording systems and between the programs used for QT measurement, and that different formulas for QT-rate adjustment may have been used. Moreover, there is a significant interreader variability in the measurement of QT interval.59 Rigorous standardization of the recording and evaluation procedures should be followed when serial comparison of QT intervals is undertaken.

The FDA guide for industry suggests that in the evaluation of possible QT prolongation induced by drugs, 2 levels of change in serial ECGs in the rate-corrected QT from the baseline be reported: an increase of greater than 30 ms and an increase of greater than 60 ms.33

Recommendation

It is recommended that for serial comparisons ECG recorders meet specific performance standards and, if possible, be of the same type; that uniform, carefully standardized ECG acquisition and QT-measurement procedures be used; and that, whenever possible, a single reader be responsible for overreading sequential tracings of an individual patient or research subject.

QT Dispersion

Increased heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization may predispose patients to the development of malignant ventricular arrhythmias.60 As indicated above, significant differences exist in the duration of the QT interval when measured in the individual leads. Visualization of these differences is facilitated by the display of a suitable subset of temporally aligned simultaneous leads with a slight separation on the amplitude scale.

The difference between the longest and shortest QT intervals is referred to as QT dispersion. This concept was introduced in 1990 for risk identification in patients with LQTS.61 Since its introduction, QT dispersion has been one of the most popular topics in ECG research. In November 2006, a PubMed search cited 670 publications with QT dispersion in the title, and a Google search under "QT dispersion measurement" revealed 171 000 communications.

The QT-dispersion concept has led to the expectation that QT dispersion is a measure of regional or localized heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization. Numerous studies have suggested an increased risk of morbidity and mortality for an increase in QT dispersion. However, substantial methodological problems with the QT-dispersion measurement have been identified that have raised fundamental questions about the validity of the concept. $62-65$ In principle, the expectation that QT dispersion is a measure of the regional or localized heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization implies that the leads with the shortest and longest QT contain signal information at the terminal part of the T wave that is not present in the first 3 orthogonal components of the 12-lead ECG (or the composite global T wave).65

Until adequately validated data in specific clinical conditions are presented showing that QT dispersion on the body surface ECG is the counterpart of localized dispersion of myocardial repolarization and conveys adequately strong nondipolar signal information that cannot be extracted from the X,Y,Z components, it seems unwise to include it as a part of the routine ECG report.

Recommendation

It is recommended that QT dispersion not be included in routine ECG reports. However, because of the fundamental importance of the heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization in the genesis of malignant ventricular arrhythmias, continued research into the identification of markers of increased dispersion of myocardial repolarization on the body surface ECG is encouraged.

Disclosures

Writing Group Disclosures

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest.

†Significant.

Reviewer Disclosures

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition. *Modest.

†Significant.

References

- 1. Kligfield P, Gettes L, Bailey JJ, et al. Recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part I: the electrocardiogram and its technology: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society: endorsed by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology. Circulation. 2007:115:1306 –24.
- 2. Abildskov JA. The sequence of normal recovery of excitability in the dog heart. Circulation. 1975;52:442– 46.
- 3. Spach MS, Barr RC. Ventricular intramural and epicardial potential distributions during ventricular activation and repolarization in the intact dog. Circ Res. 1975;37:243–57.
- 4. Franz MR, Barghee RK, Rafflenbeul W, et al. Monophasic action potential mapping in human subjects with normal electrocardiograms: direct evidence for the genesis of the T wave. Circulation. 1987;75:379 – 86.
- 5. Antzelevitch C, Sicouri S, Lukas A, et al. Regional differences in the electrophysiology of ventricular cells: physiological and clinical

implications. In: Zipes DO, Jalife J, eds. *Cardiac Electrophysiology: From Cell to Bedside*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders Co; 1995:228 – 45.

- 6. Watanabe T, Rautaharju PM, McDonald TF. Ventricular action potentials, ventricular extracellular potentials, and the ECG of guinea pig. Circ Res. 1985;57:362–73.
- 7. Surawicz B. *Electrophysiologic Basis of ECG and Cardiac Arrhythmias*. Baltimore, Md: Williams and Wilkins; 1995:599-607.
- 8. Surawicz B, Knilans TK. *Chou's Electrocardiography in Clinical Practice*. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders Co; 2001:540 –53.
- 9. Surawicz B. Pathogenesis and clinical significance of primary T wave abnormalities. In: Schlant RC, Hurst W, eds. *Advances in Electrocardiography*. New York, NY: Grune and Stratton; 1972:377– 422.
- 10. Wilson FN, Macleod AG, Barker PS. The T deflection of the electrocardiogram. Trans Assoc Am Physicians. 1931;46:29 –38.
- 11. Surawicz B. ST-T abnormalities. In: MacFarlane PW, Lawrie TDV, eds. *Comprehensive Electrocardiology*. New York, NY: Pergamon Books, Ltd: 1988:511-63.
- 12. Rosenbaum MB, Blanco HH, Elizari MV, et al. Electrotonic modulation of the T wave and cardiac memory. Am J Cardiol. 1982;50:213–22.
- 13. Nordin C, Siri F, Aronson RS. Electrophysiologic characteristics of single myocytes isolated from hypertrophied guinea-pig hearts. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 1989;21:729 –39.
- 14. Samson WE, Scher AM. Mechanism of S-T segment alteration during acute myocardial injury. Circ Res. 1960;8:780 –7.
- 15. Downar E, Janse MJ, Durrer D. The effect of acute coronary artery occlusion on subepicardial transmembrane potentials in the intact porcine heart. Circulation. 1977;56:217–24.
- 16. Kleber AG. Resting membrane potential, extracellular potassium activity, and intracellular sodium activity during acute global ischemia in isolated perfused guinea pig hearts. Circ Res. 1983;52:442–50.
- 17. Bidogglia H, Maciel JP, Capalozza N, et al. Sex-dependent electrocardiographic pattern of cardiac repolarization. Am Heart J. 2000;140:430-6.
- 18. Surawicz B, Parikh SR. Prevalence of male and female patterns of early ventricular repolarization in the normal ECG of males and females from childhood to old age. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;40:1870-6.
- 19. Macfarlane PW. Age, sex, and the ST amplitude in health and disease. J Electrocardiol. 2001;34(suppl):235– 41.
- 20. Macfarlane PW, Veitch TD, eds. *Comprehensive Electrocardiography: Theory and Practice in Health and Disease*. New York, NY: Pergamon Press, Inc; 1989;3:1441–785.
- 21. Rautaharju P, Rautaharju F. *Investigative Electrocardiography in Epidemiological Studies and Clinical Trials*. London, UK: Springer Verlag London Ltd; 2007:1– 410.
- 22. Mehta M, Jain AC, Mehta A. Early repolarization. Clin Cardiol. 1999;22:59–65.
- 23. Spodick DH. Differential characteristics of the electrocardiogram in early repolarization and acute pericarditis. N Engl J Med. 1976;295:523– 6.
- 24. Ginzton LE, Laks MM. The differential diagnosis of acute pericarditis from normal variant: new electrocardiographic criteria. Circulation. 1982;65:1004–9.
- 25. Chikamori T, Doi YL, Furuno T, et al. Diagnostic significance of deep T-wave inversion induced by exercise testing in patients with suspected coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 1992:70:403-6.
- 26. Schimpf R, Antzelevitch C, Haghi D, et al. Electromechanical coupling in patients with the short QT syndrome: further insights into the mechanoelectrical hypothesis of the U wave. Heart Rhythm. 2008;5:241–5.
- 27. Lepeschkin E. The U wave of the electrocardiogram. Mod Concepts Cardiovasc Dis. 1969;38:39 – 45.
- 28. Surawicz B. U wave: facts, hypotheses, misconceptions, and misnomers. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 1998;9:1117–28.
- 29. Daoud F, Surawicz B, Gettes LS. Effect of isoproterenol on the abnormal T wave. Am J Cardiol. 1972;30:810 –9.
- 30. Holzmann M, Zwukzoglu W. Die klinische bedeutung der negativen und diphasischen U-wellen in menschlichen EKG. Cardiologia. 1955;27:202–10.
- 31. Bellet S, Bettinger JC, Gottlieb H, et al. Prognostic significance of negative U waves in the electrocardiogram in hypertension. Circulation. 1957;15:98–101.
- 32. Kishida H, Cole JS, Surawicz B. Negative U wave: a highly specific but poorly understood sign of heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 1982;49:2030-6.
- 33. *Document E 14: Clinical Evaluation of QT/QTc Interval Prolongation and Proarrhythmic Potential of Non-Antiarrhythmic Drugs*. Rockville, Md: US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration; October 2005.
- 34. Zhang L, Timothy KW, Vincent GM, et al. Spectrum of ST-T-wave patterns and repolarization parameters in congenital long-QT syndrome: ECG findings identify genotypes. Circulation. 2000;102:2849 –55.
- 35. Lepeschkin E, Surawicz B. The measurement of the duration of the QRS interval. Am Heart J. 1952;44:80 – 8.
- 36. Statters DJ, Malik M, Ward DE, Camm AJ. QT dispersion: problems of methodology and clinical significance. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 1997;5:672– 85.
- 37. Surawicz B. Will QT dispersion play a role in clinical decision making? J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 1996;7:777– 84.
- 38. Fei L, Statters DJ, Camm AJ. QT-interval dispersion on 12-lead electrocardiogram in normal subjects: its reproducibility and relation to the T wave. Am Heart J. 1994;127:1654-5.
- 39. Lepeschkin E, Surawicz B. The measurement of the Q-T interval of the electrocardiogram. Circulation. 1952;6:378 – 88.
- 40. Ahnve S. Correction of the QT interval for heart rate: review of different formulas and the use of the Bazett's formula in myocardial infarction. Am Heart J. 1985;109:568 –74.
- 41. Hnatkova K, Malik M. "Optimum" formulae for heart rate correction of the QT interval. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 1999;22:1683–7.
- 42. Bazett HC. An analysis of the time-relations of electrocardiograms. Heart. 1920;7:35–70.
- 43. Fridericia LS. Die systolendauer im elektrokardiogramm bei normalen menschen und bei herzkranken. Acta Med Scand. 1920;53:469 – 86.
- 44. Rautaharju P, Zhang ZM. Linearly scaled, rate-invariant normal limits for QT interval: eight decades of incorrect application of power functions. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2002;13:1211– 8.
- 45. Luo S, Michler K, Johnston P, Macfarlane PW. A comparison of commonly used QT correction formulae: the effect of heart rate on the QTc of normal ECGs. J Electrocardiol. 2004;37:81–90.
- 46. Sagie A, Larson MG, Goldberg RJ, et al. An improved method for adjusting the QT interval for heart rate (the Framingham Heart Study). Am J Cardiol. 1992;70:797– 801.
- 47. De Bruyne MC, Hoes AW, Kors JA, Hofman A, van Bemmel JH, Grobbee DE. Prolonged QT interval predicts cardiac and all-cause mortality in the elderly: the Rotterdam Study. Eur Heart J. 1999;20:278 – 84.
- 48. Rautaharju PM, Prineas RJ, Kadish A, et al. Normal standards for QT and QT subintervals derived from a large ethnically diverse population of women aged 50 to 79 years (The Women's Health Initiative [WHI]). Am J Cardiol. 2006;97:730 –7.
- 49. Wernicke JF, Faries D, Breitung R, Girod D. QT correction methods in children and adolescents. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2005;16:76 – 81.
- 50. Karjalainen J, Viitasalo M, Manttari M, Manninen V. Relation between QT intervals and heart rates from 40 to 120 beats/min in rest electrocardiograms of men and a simple method to adjust QT interval values. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1994;23:1547–53.
- 51. Rautaharju PM, Zhou SH, Wong S, et al. Sex differences in the evolution of the electrocardiographic QT interval with age. Can J Cardiol. 1992;8:690 –5.
- 52. Eberle T, Hessling G, Ulmer HE, Brockmeier K. Prediction of normal QT intervals in children. J Electrocardiol. 1998;31(suppl):121–5.
- 53. Rijnbeek PR, Witsenburg M, Schrama E, et al. New normal limits for the paediatric electrocardiogram. Eur Heart J. 2001;22:702–11.
- 54. Das G. QT interval and repolarization time in patients with intraventricular conduction delay. J Electrocardiol. 1990;23:49 –52.
- 55. Rautaharju PM, Zhang ZM, Prineas R, Heiss G. Assessment of prolonged QT and JT intervals in ventricular conduction defects. Am J Cardiol. 2004;93:1017–21.
- 56. Crow RS, Hannan PJ, Folsom AR. Prognostic significance of corrected QT and corrected JT interval for incident coronary heart disease in a general population sample stratified by presence or absence of wide QRS complex: the ARIC Study with 13 years of follow-up. Circulation. 2003;108:1985–9.
- 57. Bexton RS, Vallin HO, Camm AJ. Diurnal variation of the QT interval: influence of the autonomic nervous system. Br Heart J. 1986;55:253– 8.
- 58. Molnar J, Zhang F, Weiss JS, et al. Diurnal pattern of QTc interval: how long is prolonged? J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996;27:76 – 83.
- 59. Salerno SM, Alguire PC, Waxman HS. Competency in interpretation of 12-lead electrocardiograms: a summary and appraisal of published evidence. Ann Intern Med. 2003;138:751-60.
- 60. Antzelovitch C, Oliva A. Amplification of spatial dispersion of repolarization underlies sudden cardiac death associated with catecholaminergic polymorphic VT, long QT, short QT and Brugada syndrome. J Intern Med. 2006;259:48 –58.
- 61. Day CP, McComb JM, Campbell RW. QT dispersion: an indication of arrhythmia risk in patients with long QT intervals. Br Heart J. 1990;63:342–4.
- 62. Lee KW, Kligfield P, Dower GE, Okin PM. QT dispersion, T-wave projection, and heterogeneity of repolarization in patients with coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 2001;87:148 –51.
- 63. Kors JA, van Herpen G, van Bemmel JH. QT dispersion as an attribute of T-loop morphology. Circulation. 1999;99:1458-63.
- 64. Malik M, Acar B, Gang Y, et al. QT dispersion does not represent electrocardiographic interlead heterogeneity of ventricular repolarization. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2000;11:835– 43.
- 65. Rautaharju PM. Why did QT dispersion die? Card Electrophysiol Rev. 2002;6:295–301.
- 66. Wagner GS, Macfarlane P, Wellens H, Josephson M, Gorgels A, Mirvis DM, Pahlm O, Surawicz B, Kligfield P, Childers R, Gettes LS. AHA/ ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part VI: acute ischemia/infarction: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. 2009; 119:e262– e270.

KEY WORDS: AHA Scientific Statements electrocardiography electrophysiology \blacksquare ion channels \blacksquare long-QT syndrome